

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/931,309	08/17/2001	Tetsuo Nakamura	Q65828 3931		
75	90 10/13/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			CHEA, THORL		
MACKPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			1752		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/931,309	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thorl Chea	1752	

Advisory Action	09/931,309	NAKAMURA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thorl Chea	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	L Iress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI		=				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 September 2005</u> . A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements. AMENDMENTS)), or any extension thereof (37 CF y must be filed within the time perio	R 41.37(e)), to avoid on set forth in 37 CFR	dismissal of the 41.37(a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej		110 100000 101			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	:	•	,			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered by the state of	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 			ice decause:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(٢١٠/১৪/08 or ٢١٥-1449) Paper N	(O(S)				
•		1 hollha				
		Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason set forth in the Final Office Action. Claims are related to a photothermographic material comprises at least one methine dye which is a dye known as having a methine chain including that taught in Usagawa et al. The applicants'argument is directed to a dye that is a sensitizing dye useful in the spectral sensitizind silver halide grains while the scope of the claims encompasses a methine dye useful in different purpose suh as a antihalation dye, acutance dye or filter dye. The applicants'argument is not consistent with the scope of the claims. The dye contains a methine group encompasses the scope of antihalation dye, acutance dye or filter dye useful in the silver halide photographic material. Moreover, it has been common in the art to assoctate an hetercyclic group to the methine to provide the dye with different color absorption (absord of different wavelenght) such as T.H. James. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a form a methine dye using a known heterocyclic atom sucyh as nitogen, sulfur or oxygen with an expectation of forming a methine dye absorbing light in a desired wavelenght. The applicants'argument is related to lack novelty of the claimed invention rather than the prima facie case of obviousness set forth in the office action of record.